EXHIBIT 8

```
1
               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
               EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
 3
                    SOUTHERN DIVISION
 4
                                 )
 5
                                   Civil Action No.
                                    5:16-cv-10444
 6
     In re: FLINT WATER CASES
                                 )
 7
                                 )
                                    Hon. Judith E. Levy
                                    Mag. Mona K. Majzoub
 8
 9
10
                Friday, September 11, 2020
11
                   HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
12
                        VOLUME III
13
14
              Continued remote videotaped deposition of
15
    HOWARD CROFT conducted at the location of the
16
    witness in Okemos, Michigan, commencing at 9:05 a.m.,
17
    on the above date, before Carol A. Kirk, Registered
18
    Merit Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter, and
19
    Notary Public.
20
21
22
23
                GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
            877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
24
                     deps@golkow.com
```

- 1 Q. Okay. Do you find it kind of
- 2 batshit crazy that Veolia who, according to
- 3 Dun & Bradstreet, is valued at about
- 4 \$2.4 billion per year was hired by the City of
- 5 Flint to evaluate the public water system and
- 6 potentially deal with issues in the public water
- 7 system, that their attorney is insinuating that
- 8 Howard Croft, who has no education or experience
- 9 in water treatment, should have known better
- 10 than Veolia, the \$2.4 billion company, about
- 11 what should or shouldn't have been done with the
- 12 water and should have informed the people who
- 13 could do anything about it?
- MR. ROGERS: Object to form,
- foundation.
- 16 A. Yes. It's very frustrating.
- 17 Q. Yes. I mean, in fact, you're a
- 18 citizen of Flint, and you talked in one of the
- 19 previous days about your kids drinking the
- 20 water. I mean, you could very well be or
- 21 someone like you could be a juror at a trial
- 22 where Veolia is a defendant in this case.
- Do you find it a compelling
- 24 argument that it was Howard Croft who should

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
	I, Carol A. Kirk, a Registered Merit
3	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of
	Michigan, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby
4	certify that the within-named HOWARD CROFT was by me
	first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole
5	truth, and nothing but the truth in the cause
	aforesaid; that the deposition then given by him was
6	by me reduced to stenotype in the presence of said
	witness; that the foregoing is a true and correct
7	transcript of the deposition so given by him; that the
	deposition was taken at the time and place in the
8	caption specified and was completed without
	adjournment; and that I am in no way related to or
9	employed by any attorney or party hereto or
1.0	financially interested in the action; and I am not,
10	nor is the court reporting firm with which I am
11	affiliated, under a contract as defined in Civil Rule 28(D).
12	20 (D).
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
	hand and affixed my seal of office at Dexter, Michigan
14	on this 27th day of September 2020.
15	
16	
17	Carol a Kirk
	CAROL A. KIRK, RMR, CSR-9139
18	NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF MICHIGAN
19	
20	My Commission Expires: August 19, 2022.
21	
22	
23	
24	